

## **APPENDIX 5**

### **FAIR FUNDING CONSULTATION OUTCOMES 2016-17**

#### **OUTCOMES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE SEPTEMBER 2015 CONSULTATION**

	<b>QUESTION</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF RESPONSES</b>
<b>Q1</b>	Do you support, subject to DSG availability, increasing the PFI subsidy factor in the local schools formula to support a reduction in the amount needing to be contributed by the 7 relevant schools?	Not supported overall or in any sector
<b>Q2</b>	Do you support the proposed change in the definition for Notional SEN for 2016-17?	Supported overall and in all sectors
<b>Q3</b>	Do you support the arrangements for delegation and de-delegation as detailed for 2015-16 to continue for 2016-17?  (Service Areas are detailed in the full report paragraphs 48 to 50)	Supported overall and in all sectors
<b>Q4</b>	Do you support the arrangements for centrally retained services as detailed for 2015-16 to continue in 2016-17?  (Service Areas are detailed in the full report paragraph 51)	Supported overall and in all sectors
<b>Q5</b>	Please indicate your preferred for the future of the Falling Rolls Fund (FRF) as follows: -  <u>Option 1</u> No longer operate a FRF and include the current budget in the local schools funding formula for the benefit of all schools  <u>Option 2</u> Continue to operate a FRF on the revised criteria as detailed above	<u>Option 1</u> Supported overall and in the Maintained and Academy Secondary Sectors  <u>Option 2</u> Not supported overall but favoured in the maintained primary and middle sectors. Small number in primary sector support option but not the proposed criteria